

## Sandra Fairchild

---

**From:** CRC Hoover Allocation Team <info@crchoovertime.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 25, 2014 2:00 PM  
**To:** Sandra Fairchild  
**Subject:** FW: Comments Regarding Proposed Regulation of the Colorado River Commission of Nevada LCB File No R148-13.pdf  
**Attachments:** Comments Regarding Proposed Regulation of the Colorado River Commission of Nevada LCB File No R148-13 (1).pdf

Craig N. Pyper  
Hydropower Program Manager  
Colorado River Commission of Nevada  
(702) 486-2681  
[cpyper@crc.nv.gov](mailto:cpyper@crc.nv.gov)

**From:** Aaron Baker [<mailto:abaker@mesquitenv.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 25, 2014 1:39 PM  
**To:** CRC Hoover Allocation Team  
**Subject:** Comments Regarding Proposed Regulation of the Colorado River Commission of Nevada LCB File No R148-13.pdf

Good Afternoon,

Attached are the City of Mesquite's comments regarding proposed regulation of the Colorado River Commission of Nevada LCB File No. R148-13.

Please confirm that you have received these comments.

Regards,

Aaron Baker

*City Liaison Officer*

Office: 702.346.5297      Cell: 702.306.0047



# Comments regarding Proposed Regulation of the Colorado River Commission of Nevada LCB File No. R148-13

---

## **Section 13-7**

This requirement seems extremely open-ended. The City would like further clarification of what CRC is hoping to accomplish by this requirement and if there are specific areas of concern this is intended to address.

## **Section 16-1-b**

While this section does not directly apply to the City of Mesquite, the City does have similar concerns to those expressed below regarding Section 16-2-f.

## **Section 16-2-f**

The City of Mesquite is concerned about applicable tariff rates and charges. While the City of Mesquite is located in Clark County, it is not served by NV Energy. Overton Power District No. 5 serves Mesquite. It is common knowledge that the business models for NV Energy and Overton Power District are different. Consequently, it seems unfair to lump a small-scale utility into the same group as a large-scale utility company that serves approximately 2 million customers in Clark County.

## **Section 31-2**

The City seeks clarification regarding the determination of the term of the contract. Will both parties have to mutually agree to the term or does the Commission dictate it? The City would prefer a longer term and wants to ensure that remains a possibility.